Cloj ure and The Robot Apocalypse Needfuls for Newbies

Introduction

- Today we will learn about Clojure!
- Quick background Scott and Portico
- twitter.com/sfraser





Why Cloj ure?

From Mark Volkmann's <u>Clojure Page's</u> "long article":

- Are you looking for a way to make concurrent programming easier?
- Are open to branching outside the world of object-oriented programming to try functional programming?
- Is it important for the applications you write to run on the JVM in order to take advantage of existing Java libraries, portability and other benefits?
- Do you prefer dynamically-typed languages over staticallytyped ones?
- Do you find the minimal, consistent syntax of Lisp dialects appealing?



Tell me more about this. NOW.

Clojure and it's "Four Legs"

 Functional Programming
 Lisp
 Hosted on Java Virtual Machine (JVM)
 Concurrency



Sidebar - Programming "Paradigms"

- Programming Languages have different ways they abstract, present and organize their constructs to facilitate the problem solving process
- Some programming languages are "purely functional"
- Others, such as Clojure and Scala, are "multi-paradigm"
- Clojure is Imperative, Functional, Concurrent and Reflective
- Other Paradigms you may know:
 - Visual
 - Object-Oriented
 - Actor based
 - Declarative



Second Sidebar - Some Basics

- Clojure has <u>Numbers</u>, Strings, Characters, Keywords, <u>Symbols</u>, and Collections
- Collections:
 - List: '(1 2 3)
 - Vector: [1 2 3]
 - Maps: {:key1 "Value1" :key2 "Value2"}
 - o Sets: #{"This" "is" "a" "Set"}
- All collections can be treated as "<u>Sequences</u>"
- Sequences in Clojure are like LISP Lists but at a higher level of abstraction
- "Lazy" Sequences are evaluated on demand



Second Sidebar - More Basics

- Data is immutable with optional metadata attached
- Code is Data Data is Code!
- Clojure is <u>Dynamically Typed</u>
- There is NO interpreter!
- Uses Prefix notation (aka Polish Notation)
- Programs are composed of expressions:



First Leg - Functional Programming



First Leg - Functional Programming

- An *Imperative* Program describes an explicit series of steps that mutate state
- Functional Programming is **NOT** Imperative
- Functional Programming has a Declarative style
- Declarative programming means stating WHAT you want as opposed to HOW
- Functional programs avoid "side-effects" by absence of state and mutable data
- Functional programs lean on composition of mathematical functions as opposed to Imperative logic operating on stateful mutating VARIABLES
- Consider what a "Variable" is... something that VARIES



Declarative versus Imperative

• Declarative:

SELECT * FROM users WHERE fname = 'Dude'
 Imperative:

- \circ tbl = users
- o IF tbl.fname.hasIndex():
 - resultSet = tbl.fname.searchWithIndex('Dude')
- \circ ELSE
 - resultSet = tbl.fname.tableScan('Dude')



Functional versus Imperative

• Functional Programming avoids "side effects"

- Imperative Programming relies on "side effects"
- Functional Programming's absence of side effects provides referential transparency
- Functional Programming focuses on assembling functions
- Imperative Programming focuses on specifying a series of steps that mutate state to solve a problem
- In simple cases Functional programs resemble mathematical expressions describing a relationship
- Imperative Programs read like scripted steps



Functional vs. Imperative Example

• Add digits 1 to 10 and print result:

○ imperative style in Java:

- int accumulate = 0;
- for(int i=1; i<=10; i++) accumulate+=i</pre>
- System.out.println(accumulate);

○ functional style in Clojure:

(println (reduce + (range 11)))

• "reduce" in clojure is a sequence *transformation*

• Other transformations available include "map" and "for"

• Note in the above example the "print" is a "side-effect"



More Variations on the Theme

 Enough Clojure trickery... what if you didn't know about "reduce"? How else can we accumulate the digits without a sequence transformation?

> (loop [accum 0 i 10] (if (pos? i) (recur (+ accum i) (dec i)) accum))



How Functional is Clojure?

• Clojure supports FP in many ways:

- First-class functions
- Immutable Data Structures
- Recursive Looping
- Mutual recursion (trampoline)
- Lazy Sequences
- Memoization
- See <u>http://clojure.org/functional_programming</u>
- Rich Hickey is a pragmatist Clojure encourages functional programming, but does not mandate it



Second Leg - LISP



Second Leg - LISP

• LISt Processing language

- Known for its heavily parenthesized syntax
- The code is made up of <u>S-expressions</u>
- The DATA is made up of S-expressions!
- So in a LISP, code is data... and data is code.
- This gives LISP (and Clojure) *homoiconicity*
- This facilitates <u>the famous "macro" system of LISP</u> that can extend the very language itself



Second Leg - LISP

- What does LISP look like?
- Factorial function:



• What would this look like in Clojure?





Second Leg - LISP - Homoiconicity

- The power of Code = Data cannot be overemphasized
- This gives Clojure a leg up over many other popular kids on the JVM, such as JRuby
- <u>Macros</u> allows you to *extend the compiler*
- This is pervasive throughout many core language features are macros, not language primitives! Examples:
 - Branching: and, or, when
 - Looping: for, while
 - Syntactic Sugar: doto
 - Java interop: proxy
 - Transactions: dosync



Second Leg - LISP - Macro Example

• Java has the "<u>assert</u>" statement

- To change it would require a new release and implementations of the Java Language Specification
- Clojure has "assert", <u>implemented as a macro</u>:

(defmacro assert

"Evaluates expr and throws an exception if it does not evaluate to logical true."

[X]

`(when-not ~x (throw

(new Exception (str "Assert failed: " (pr-str '~x))))))



Second Leg - LISP - More Macros



Third Leg - JVM



Third Leg - JVM

 Clojure is always compiled to JVM bytecode, either at runtime or Ahead of Time (AOT)

- Full access to the Java platform API's
- Provides special syntactical sugar for Java
- Some of it's libraries operate with Java objects
- Implementation of Java classes is possible using <u>clojure</u> <u>proxies</u> with some limitations
 - Interfaces may be implemented
 - Classes may be "extended", but there is no access to protected members of the proxied class
- Clojure uses reflection when needed
- Java "Type Hints" can be used for performance



Third Leg - JVM - Other Notes

- Clojure <u>supports Java arrays natively</u>, primarily for interoperation with existing Java API's
- Java Primitives types are supported, also for performance
- This support shows up in numerous ways including:
 - Coercion operations (think Java <u>unboxing</u>)
 - Clojure libraries that are overloaded when they process arrays of Java primitives
 - Support for primitives in let/loop bound locals
 - Type Hints for primitive arrays



Third Leg - JVM - Gimmee Sugar!

Clojure has lots of <u>sugary goodness</u> to lighten things up
Example in Java:

final int procs =
 Runtime.getRuntime().availableProcessors();

• Now in Clojure:

(def procs
 (.. Runtime getRuntime availableProcessors))



Third Leg - JVM - More Sugar!

In Java:

```
import java.util.HashMap;
    import java.util.HashSet;
    final HashMap myMapOfSets = new HashMap();
      final HashSet mySet = new HashSet();
      mySet.add( "Item" );
      myMapOfSets.put( "Set1", mySet );
• In Clojure:
(import '(java.util HashMap HashSet))
(def myMapOfSets (doto (HashMap.)
    (.put "Set1" (doto (HashSet.) (.add "Item")))))
```



Fourth Leg - Concurrency



Fourth Leg - Concurrency

- Rick Hickey feels strongly about "state" and the challenges of managing concurrency in typical imperative programming languages
- He will reference these feelings when answering "why did you make Clojure"
- His opinions are compelling, and resonate with me, as someone who works with highly multi-threaded code
- Code example!



Fourth Leg - Clojure's Approach

 Clojure's explicitly isolates changeable state using four specific constructs:

- \circ Vars
- Refs
- Atoms
- Agent
- Ideally a program will have:
 - o a safe, immutable, functional model
 - a stateful mutable model accessed only via constructs listed above
- Clojure uniquely combines Software Transactional Memory (STM) and immutable data to ease concurrent pain and eliminate locks

Fourth Leg - Concurrency Links

- Clojure's approach to Identity and State.
- <u>Clojure Concurrency</u> Rich Hickey presents to Western Mass. Developers Group
 - 22 Min : "mutable objects are the new spaghetti code" and then he posits that the drive for TDD is related to the difficulties related to mutability
 - 53 Min : great explanation of problems with multithreaded code and locking strategies
- <u>Clojure could be to Concurrency-Oriented Programming</u> what Java was to OOP - Compares Erlang Actors to Clojure Agents, and lot's more thoughts and links



Fourth Leg - Concurrency - Vars

- Vars refer to a mutable storage location that can be rebound at the thread level
- Rebindings on a thread can occur over and over, and the bindings will "pop" out of scope like a stack
- Think of "thread local" variables with an optional "root level" binding
- Usage of a Var is safe because it is isolated at the thread level
- If a thread binds a new value to a Var, only that thread sees it
- Vars are <u>Dynamically Scoped</u>



Fourth Leg - Concurrency - Vars

• Example:

```
(def myVar "Outer Value")
(do (prn myVar)
(binding [myVar "Inner Value"] (prn myVar))
(prn myVar))
```

Output: Outer Value Inner Value Outer Value



Fourth Leg - Concurrency - Vars

 Clojure functions defined with defn are actually in Vars too, and thus can be rebound too!
 (defn holla [name] (prn (format "%s Rulz!" name)))

```
(defn rebind-example []
 (binding
    [holla (fn [name] (prn (format "%s Droolz!" name)))]
    (holla "SQL Server"))
    (holla "Oracle"))
```

 Output: SQL Server Droolz! Oracle Rulz!



Fourth Leg - Concurrency - Refs

- Vars can't share state between threads, Refs can
- Refs use STM (discussed later) to provide shared state that is safe
- Mutation of what a Ref points at must be in a "transaction"
- For more detail see <u>Refs and Transactions</u>
- For strict and synchronized mutation of Refs, Clojure provides "<u>alter</u>", or for "last one in wins" use "<u>commute</u>"
- Refs are dereferenced with "deref" or "@"
- Validators may be attached to Refs and used in a similar fashion to a database constraint - they literally roll the transaction back when violated!



Fourth Leg - Refs Example

```
user> (def autoindex (ref 0))
user> @autoindex
\left( \right)
user> (alter autoindex inc)
java.lang.IllegalStateException: No transaction running
user> (dosync (alter autoindex inc))
1
user> @autoindex
1
user> (defn get-index [] (dosync (alter autoindex inc)))
user> (get-index)
2
user> @autoindex
2
```



Fourth Leg - Refs and STM

- Refs rely on Clojure's implementation of <u>Software</u> <u>Transactional Memory</u> (STM)
- Clojure's STM is based on <u>MultiVersion Concurrency</u> <u>Control (MVCC)</u> and provides Atomicity, Consistency, and Isolation - but not Durability
- For a short and sweet description, refer to the Concurrency chapter in <u>Programming Clojure</u>
- There are some STM detractors
- These detractors come at this from the Operating System/Database/VM Kernal perspective, so I am not sure how to apply their thoughts to this world



Fourth Leg - STM Debate

- <u>Clojure: STMs vs Locks</u> debate between Hickey and Cliff Click Jr of Azul Systems (former architect and lead developer at Sun of the HotSpot Server Compiler)
- <u>Concurrency's Shysters</u> Written by Bryan Cantrill of Sun (and author of DTrace) on why the sky is not falling
- <u>Software transactional memory: why is it only a research</u> <u>toy?</u> Authored by numerous IBM researchers and Colin Blundell of U. Penn.
- <u>Real-world Concurrency</u> Great history on concurrency in hardware and software, followed by best practices. Written by Bryan Cantrill and Jeff Bonwick (author of ZFS).



Fourth Leg - Concurrency - Agents

- Think of Erlang's Actors (message-passing actors), but nonautonomous and not meant to be "distributed"
- From Agents and Asynchronous Actions:
- "Clojure's Agents are reactive, not autonomous there is no imperative message loop and no blocking receive."
 Clojure Agents provide asynchronous concurrency
 You "send" an action to an Agent, and Clojure uses a worker thread to dispatch those actions interleaved with others one at a time to the Agent
- Agents, like Refs, rely on Clojure's STM
- Agents can have validators and watchers



Fourth Leg - Concurrency - Atoms

- <u>Atoms</u> are like Refs without the STM overhead
- You can update multiple Refs in a single transaction because of it's reliance on Clojure's STM
- Atoms are standalone entities whose updating cannot be coordinated - they do NOT participate in transactions
- Clojure uses Atoms in its <u>memoization</u> implementation



Fourth Leg - Atoms

Clojure uses Atoms in it's implementation of memoize: (defn memoize [f] (let [mem (atom {})] (fn [& args] (if-let [e (find @mem args)] (val e) (let [ret (apply f args)] (swap! mem assoc args ret) ret)))))



Get You Some Clojure Dawg

• Three Easy Steps:

- svn co http://clojure.googlecode.com/svn/trunk/ clojure
- o cd clojure

o ant

• You will probably want clojure-contrib also:

- svn co http://clojure-contrib.googlecode. com/svn/trunk/ clojure-contrib
- cd clojure-contrib

o ant

Got REPL?

 java -cp clojure-contrib.jar:clojure.jar clojure. main



Tooling?

- Enclojure <u>http://enclojure.net/Index.html</u>
- Emacs Clojure mode <u>http://www.lysator.liu.</u> <u>se/~lenst/darcs/clojure-mode/</u>
- Another Emacs mode, and Swank server (slime) for clojure
 - http://clojure.codestuffs.com/
- Syntax file for VIM: <u>http://repo.or.cz/w/clojure-patches.git?</u> <u>a=blob_plain;f=vim/clojure.vim;hb=HEAD</u>
- IntelliJ Plugin <u>http://plugins.intellij.net/plugin/?id=4050</u>





Tim Conway's Game of Life



Parallelized Game of Life Code

- Code Review
- Where does the magic happen?

(defn calc-state [cell-state mycells batch-set next-color]
 (let [new-cells (ref {})]
 (dorun





Robot Time



Robots!!!

SRV-1 Console Code Review <u>http://www.inertialabs.com/srv.htm</u>





Recommended

http://www.pragprog.com/titles/shcloj/programming-clojure





Thank you!

- The Philly Lambda Group and the Functional Programming evangelists who introduced me to Clojure (Kyle Burton, Mike DeLaurentis, etc...)
 - <u>http://groups.google.com/group/philly-lambda</u>
- Rich Hickey and the folks behind Clojure:
 - <u>http://clojure.org/</u>

